Activity 1 Worksheets

BEST PRACTICES IN FACULTY PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT



S&T ADVANCE Leadership WorkshopJanuary 17, 2024

Tenure/Tenure-Track Positions in Your Department:

Identify Dept's Curren	nt Definition/Metrics of Each Performance Level for TEACHING
Does Not Meet Expectations	
Meets Expectations	
Exceeds Expectations	
Identify Dept's Curren	nt Definition/Metrics of Each Performance Level for RESEARCH
Does Not Meet Expectations	
Meets Expectations	
Exceeds Expectations	
Identify Dept's Curren	nt Definition/Metrics of Each Performance Level for SERVICE
Does Not Meet Expectations	
Meets Expectations	
Exceeds Expectations	

Let's Reflect

Of those definitions/metrics, which are based on faculty behaviors? Which are based on outputs? How many of those metrics are 100% in control of the faculty member?
If you reworded the outputs to reflect behaviors, what would that look like?
How complex is your process? To what extent does it rely on weighted formulas/metrics?
Of those definitions/metrics, do they fully capture all ways one could contribute to teaching, research, and/or service? What's missing? For example, do you consider capacity building behaviors or continuous improvement behaviors?
Are there formative assessments built into your definition/metrics of performance and/or your evaluation process? How many of the identified metrics are directly aligned with department and university goals?

Tenure/Tenure-Track "Typical"		No Raise Raise Eligible		Raise Eligible
		Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	
		Minimum (Does Not Meet)		Meets Exceeds
Research				
	40%			
% Distribution:				
% Distribution:	40 70			
Teaching				
reacting				
	40%			
or Distributions				
% Distribution:				
Service				
	20%			
% Distribution:				

Non-Tenure Track Positions in Your Department:

Identify Dept's Curren	t Definition/Metrics of Each Performance Level for TEACHING
Does Not Meet Expectations	
Meets Expectations	
Exceeds Expectations	
Identify Dentis Common	A Definition (Mathice of Ford Deufenment of Lond for DECEARCH
Identify Dept's Curren	t Definition/Metrics of Each Performance Level for RESEARCH
Does Not Meet Expectations	
Meets Expectations	
Exceeds Expectations	
Identify Dept's Curren	t Definition/Metrics of Each Performance Level for SERVICE
Does Not Meet Expectations	
Meets Expectations	
Exceeds Expectations	

Let's Reflect

Of those definitions/metrics, which are based on faculty behaviors? Which are based on outputs? How many of those metrics are 100% in control of the faculty member?
If you reworded the outputs to reflect behaviors, what would that look like?
How complex is your evaluation process? To what extent does it rely on weighted formulas/metrics?
Of those definitions/metrics, do they fully capture all ways one could contribute to teaching, research, and/or service? What's missing? For example, do you consider capacity building behaviors or continuous improvement behaviors?
Are there formative assessments built into your definition/metrics of performance and/or your evaluation process? How many of the identified metrics are directly aligned with department and university goals?

Non-Tenure Track "Typical"		No Raise Unsatisfactory	Raise Eligible Satisfactory
		Minimum (Does Not Meet)	Meets Exceeds
Research			
o. Distribution	40%		
% Distribution:			
Teaching			
	40%		
% Distribution:			
Comrise			
Service			
	20%		
% Distribution:			